arXiv:1609.04857v1 [math.GT] 15 Sep 2016

G-CORKS & HEEGAARD FLOER HOMOLOGY

BIJI WONG

Abstract. In [6], Auckly-Kim-Melvin-Ruberman showed that for any finite subgroup G of $SO(4)$ there exists a contractible smooth 4-manifold with an effective G-action on its boundary so that the twists associated to the non-trivial elements of G don't extend to diffeomorphisms of the entire manifold. We give a different proof of this phenomenon using the Heegaard Floer theoretic argument in [3].

1. Introduction

A cork is a contractible smooth 4-manifold with an involution on its boundary that does not extend to a diffeomorphism of the entire manifold. The first example of a cork was given by Akbulut in [1]. Since then, other examples have been constructed by Akbulut-Yasui in [4], Akbulut-Yasui in [5], and Tange in [14, 15]. Corks can be used to detect exotic structures, see [1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 14, 15]. In fact, any two smooth structures on a closed simply-connected 4-manifold differ by a single cork twist, see [8, 12]. A cork twist removes an embedded cork and reglues it using the involution. The involution on the boundary of a cork can be regarded as a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-action, so it is natural to ask if contractible smooth 4-manifolds with other kinds of effective group actions on the boundaries can also be used to detect exotic structures. A number of recent papers have answered this in the affirmative, constructing examples of G-corks, $G \neq \mathbb{Z}_2$, that embed inside closed smooth 4-manifolds so that removing and regluing using the $|G|$ twists produces $|G|$ distinct smooth structures, see [6, 10, 11, 2, 15]. A G-cork is a contractible smooth 4-manifold with an effective G-action on its boundary so that the twists associated to the non-trivial elements of G do not extend to diffeomorphisms of the entire manifold.

The purpose of this paper is to use the Heegaard Floer theoretic argument in [3] to give a different proof that the examples in [6] are in fact G-corks. These examples are defined as follows. Fix a finite subgroup G of $SO(4)$. Let $n = |G|$. Let $\mathbb{W}$ be the Akbulut cork from [1] shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1. The Akbulut cork

There is an isotopy of S^3 that interchanges the two link components on the right side of Figure 1. This gives an involution τ of $\partial\mathbb{W}$. Let $\mathbb{S}$ denote the boundary sum $\natural_n\, \mathbb{W}$. Note that its boundary $\partial\mathbb{S}$ inherits an involution σ. Define $\overline{\mathbb{T}}$ to be the boundary sum of B^4 with n copies of $\mathbb{S}$, namely $\overline{\mathbb{T}} = B^4 \natural \big(G \times \mathbb{S}\big)$. So that we get a well-defined action of G on $\partial\overline{\mathbb{T}}$, we assume that the n copies of $\mathbb{S}$ are attached along 3-balls in ∂B^4 that form a principal orbit under the linear action of G on ∂B^4. Then we define the action of G on $\partial\overline{\mathbb{T}}$ to be the linear action on ∂B^4 and left multiplication

on the copies of $\partial\mathbb{S}$. To get the G-cork, we twist a copy $\mathbb{S}'$ of $\mathbb{S}$ in the interior of $1 \times \mathbb{S} \subset \overline{\mathbb{T}}$ by the involution σ. Let $\mathbb{T}$ denote the resulting 4-manifold $B^4 \natural \left(\mathbb{S}' \cup_\sigma \left((1 \times \mathbb{S}) - \mathbb{S}'\right)\right) \natural \left((G-1) \times \mathbb{S}\right)$. Note that the action of G on $\partial\overline{\mathbb{T}}$ descends to an action of G on $\partial\mathbb{T}$. Then we have the following:

Theorem 1. *$\mathbb{T}$ is a G-cork.*

The Heegaard Floer theoretic argument also yields the following easy consequence:

Theorem 2. *The G-action on $\partial\mathbb{T}$ induces an effective G-action on $HF^+(-\partial\mathbb{T}, \mathfrak{s})$, where HF^+ is the plus version of Heegaard Floer homology and $\mathfrak{s}$ is the unique Spin^c structure on $\partial\mathbb{T}$.*

We assume the reader is familiar with the basics of Heegaard Floer homology for 3 and 4-manifolds, contact geometry, Stein structures, and Lefschetz fibrations. We use $\mathbb{Z}_2$ coefficients throughout to avoid ambiguity in sign.

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank Danny Ruberman for helpful conversations. A part of this work was supported by an NSF IGERT fellowship under grant number DGE-1068620.

2. Proofs of Theorems 1 & 2

We prove Theorem 1 first. We start by equipping $\mathbb{T}$ with the handle decomposition in Figure 2.



Figure 2. Handle decomposition of $\mathbb{T}$: There are n rows. The first row represents $\mathbb{S}' \cup_\sigma \left((1 \times \mathbb{S}) - \mathbb{S}'\right)$. Each row after represents a copy of $\mathbb{S}$.

By [4, Lemma 5.3], $\mathbb{T}$ can be given a Stein structure that extends the standard Stein structure on B^4. Then $\partial\mathbb{T}$ inherits a contact structure ξ. Now fix $g \in G$, $g \neq 1$; by abuse of notation we view this as a diffeomorphism of $\partial\mathbb{T}$. We want to show that g does not extend to a diffeomorphism of $\mathbb{T}$. Let $\mathfrak{s}$ denote the unique Spin^c structure on $\mathbb{T}$; we also write $\mathfrak{s}$ for its restriction to $\partial\mathbb{T}$. By puncturing $\mathbb{T}$ in the interior we can view $\mathbb{T}$ as a cobordism from $-\partial\mathbb{T}$ to S^3. Then we get the cobordism map $F^+_{\mathbb{T},\mathfrak{s}} : HF^+(-\partial\mathbb{T}, \mathfrak{s}) \to HF^+(S^3)$, see [13] for details. The twist g induces a second cobordism map

$g^* : HF^+(-\partial\mathbb{T}, \mathfrak{s}) \to HF^+(-\partial\mathbb{T}, \mathfrak{s})$ via the cobordism $(-\partial\mathbb{T} \times [0, \frac{1}{2}]) \cup_g (-\partial\mathbb{T} \times [\frac{1}{2}, 1])$. The bulk of the proof lies in showing the following:

Lemma. *Let $c^+(\xi) \in HF^+(-\partial\mathbb{T}, \mathfrak{s})$ denote the contact invariant associated to ξ. Then $F^+_{\mathbb{T},\mathfrak{s}}\big(c^+(\xi)\big) \neq 0$, but $F^+_{\mathbb{T},\mathfrak{s}} \circ g^*\big(c^+(\xi)\big) = 0$.*

Proof. First attach a 2-handle to $\partial\mathbb{T}$ along a trefoil with framing 1 as in Figure 3.



FIGURE 3. $\mathbb{T} \cup$ 2-handle

If we replace the dotted 1-handle linking the trefoil with a pair of 3-balls and put the trefoil in Legendrian position, then we see that the Thurston-Bennequiun number of the tangle is 2, which is 1 more than the framing we started with. By Eliashberg's criterion [9, Proposition 2.3], the Stein structure on $\mathbb{T}$ can be extended over the 2-handle. Let M denote the cobordism on $\partial\mathbb{T}$ induced by the 2-handle attachment. Then M inherits a Stein structure. By [3, Lemma 3.6], we can extend M to a concave symplectic filling V of $(\partial\mathbb{T}, \xi)$ so that the closed smooth 4-manifold $X := \mathbb{T} \cup V$ has $b_2^+ > 1$ and admits the structure of a relatively minimal Lefschetz fibration over S^2 with generic fiber of genus > 1. Furthermore, if $\mathfrak{t}$ denotes the canonical Spin^c structure on X (and also its restriction to V), then by [3, Theorem 3.2 & Lemma 3.6],

$$F^{\text{mix}}_{X,\mathfrak{t}}(\theta^-_{(-2)}) = \theta^+_{(0)},$$
$$F^{\text{mix}}_{V,\mathfrak{t}}(\theta^-_{(-2)}) = c^+(\xi).$$

Here $F^{\text{mix}}_{X,\mathfrak{t}}$ is the mixed homomorphism $HF^-(S^3) \to HF^+(S^3)$ obtained by puncturing X twice, with one puncture in the interior of V and the other puncture equal to the above puncture of $\mathbb{T}$, $F^{\text{mix}}_{V,\mathfrak{t}}$ is the mixed homomorphism $HF^-(S^3) \to HF^+(-\partial\mathbb{T}, \mathfrak{s})$ obtained by puncturing V in the same location as above, $\theta^-_{(-2)}$ is the generator of $HF^-(S^3)$ with absolute grading –2, and $\theta^+_{(0)}$ is the generator of $HF^+(S^3)$ with absolute grading 0, see [13] for details. Putting this together, we get

$$0 \neq \theta^+_{(0)} = F^{\text{mix}}_{X,\mathfrak{t}}(\theta^-_{(-2)}) = F^+_{\mathbb{T},\mathfrak{s}} \circ F^{\text{mix}}_{V,\mathfrak{t}}(\theta^-_{(-2)}) = F^+_{\mathbb{T},\mathfrak{s}}\big(c^+(\xi)\big).$$

All that remains to show is that $F^+_{\mathbb{T},\mathfrak{s}} \circ g^*\big(c^+(\xi)\big) = 0$. Let X' denote $\mathbb{T} \cup_g V$ obtained from $X = \mathbb{T} \cup V$ by removing $\mathbb{T}$ and regluing it with the diffeomorphism $g : \partial\mathbb{T} \to \partial\mathbb{T}$. In X' we have $\mathbb{T} \cup_g M$ which admits the following handle decomposition:



FIGURE 4. $\mathbb{T} \cup_g M$, where the second row represents $(\{g\} \times \mathbb{S}) \cup$ 2-handle

Note that the trefoil, thought of in X', gives rise to an embedded torus of self-intersection 1. By [3, Theorem 3.1], X' does not have any basic classes, so for every Spin^c structure $\mathfrak{t}'$ on X', the mixed homomorphism $F^{\text{mix}}_{X',\mathfrak{t}'} : HF^-(S^3) \to HF^+(S^3)$ is identically zero. Separately, we have a homeomorphism $X \to X'$ that is the identity on V. Let $\mathfrak{t}'$ denote the Spin^c structure on X' that corresponds to the canonical Spin^c structure $\mathfrak{t}$ on X. Note that $\mathfrak{t}'$ restricted to V is the Spin^c structure $\mathfrak{t}$. Then we have

$$0 = F^{\text{mix}}_{X',\mathfrak{t}'}(\theta^-_{(-2)}) = F^+_{\mathbb{T},\mathfrak{s}} \circ g^* \circ F^{\text{mix}}_{V,\mathfrak{t}}(\theta^-_{(-2)}) = F^+_{\mathbb{T},\mathfrak{s}} \circ g^*\big(c^+(\xi)\big).$$

□

Having proved the main technical lemma, we now finish off the proof of Theorem 1. Suppose to the contrary the diffeomorphism $g : \partial\mathbb{T} \to \partial\mathbb{T}$ extends to a diffeomorphism $\widetilde{g} : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{T}$. Then the diffeomorphism $g^{-1} : \partial\mathbb{T} \to \partial\mathbb{T}$ extends to the diffeomorphism $\widetilde{g}^{-1} : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{T}$. Note that $\widetilde{g}^{-1}$ is orientation-preserving. Let C denote the cobordism from $-\partial\mathbb{T}$ to S^3 obtained by stacking the cobordism $(-\partial\mathbb{T} \times [0, \frac{1}{2}]) \cup_g (-\partial\mathbb{T} \times [\frac{1}{2}, 1])$ from $-\partial\mathbb{T}$ to $-\partial\mathbb{T}$ on top of the punctured $\mathbb{T}$ (with puncture denoted by $*$). Let C' denote the cobordism from $-\partial\mathbb{T}$ to S^3 obtained by stacking the identity cobordism $(-\partial\mathbb{T} \times [0, \frac{1}{2}]) \cup_{id} (-\partial\mathbb{T} \times [\frac{1}{2}, 1])$ from $-\partial\mathbb{T}$ to $-\partial\mathbb{T}$ on top of $\mathbb{T}$ punctured at $\widetilde{g}^{-1}(*)$. The orientation-preserving diffeomorphism $\widetilde{g}^{-1} : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{T}$ gives us the following orientation-preserving diffeomorphism $\Theta : C \to C'$: on $-\partial\mathbb{T} \times [0, \frac{1}{2}]$, we take Θ to be the identity; on $-\partial\mathbb{T} \times [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$, we take Θ to be $g^{-1} \times id$; and on the punctured $\mathbb{T}$, we take Θ to be $\widetilde{g}^{-1}$. Let $\mathfrak{s}_C$ denote the unique Spin^c structure on C and let $\mathfrak{s}_{C'}$ denote the unique Spin^c structure on C'. We then get this commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} HF^+(-\partial\mathbb{T},\mathfrak{s}) & \xrightarrow{F^+_{C,\mathfrak{s}_C}} & HF^+(S^3) \\ \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle (\Theta|_{-\partial\mathbb{T}})_*} & & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle (\Theta|_{S^3})_*} \\ HF^+(-\partial T,\mathfrak{s}) & \xrightarrow{F^+_{C',\mathfrak{s}_{C'}}} & HF^+(S^3). \end{array}$$

Note that

$$F^+_{C,\mathfrak{s}_C} = F^+_{\mathbb{T},\mathfrak{s}} \circ g^*,$$
$$F^+_{C',\mathfrak{s}_{C'}} = F^+_{\mathbb{T},\mathfrak{s}},$$
$$(\Theta|_{-\partial\mathbb{T}})_* = id.$$

From the lemma, $F^+_{C,\mathfrak{s}_C}(c^+(\xi)) = 0$ and $F^+_{C',\mathfrak{s}_{C'}}(c^+(\xi)) \neq 0$, but this contradicts the commutativity of the diagram. This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.

Remark. The above argument can also be used to show that the smooth 4-manifold $\mathbb{T}'$ obtained by starting with $B^4 \natural \big(G \times \mathbb{W}\big)$ and twisting a copy of $\mathbb{W}$ in $1 \times \mathbb{W}$ is a G-cork. However it is not yet know if $\mathbb{T}'$ admits an embedding into a closed smooth 4-manifold so that removing and regluing using the $|G|$ twists produces $|G|$ distinct smooth structures.

We now prove Theorem 2. Define the action of G on $HF^+(-\partial\mathbb{T},\mathfrak{s})$ by: $g \cdot x = g^*(x)$. To see that this is effective, we need to show that for any $g \neq 1$ there is an $x \in HF^+(-\partial\mathbb{T},\mathfrak{s})$ so that $g^*(x) \neq x$. So fix $g \neq 1$. The above lemma implies that $g^*(c^+(\xi)) \neq c^+(\xi)$. Hence we can take our x to be $c^+(\xi)$. This concludes the proof of Theorem 2.

References

[1] S. Akbulut, *A fake compact contractible 4-manifold*, J. Differential Geom. 33 (1991) no. 2, 335-356.
[2] S. Akbulut, *On infinite order corks*, arXiv:1605.09348v4, 2016.
[3] S. Akbulut and C. Karakurt, *Action of the cork twist on Floer homology*, Proceedings of the Gökova Geometry-Topology Conference 2011, 42-52, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2012.
[4] S. Akbulut and K. Yasui, *Corks, plugs, and exotic structures*, J. Gökova Geom. Topol. GGT 2 (2008) 40-82.
[5] S. Akbulut and K. Yasui, *Knotting corks*, J. Topol. 2 (2009), no. 4, 823-839.
[6] D. Auckly, H. Kim, P. Melvin, and D. Ruberman, *Equivariant corks*, arXiv:1602.07650v1, 2016.
[7] Ż. Bižaca and R. E. Gompf, *Elliptic surfaces and some simple exotic* $\mathbb{R}^4$*'s*, J. Differential Geom. 43 (1996) no. 3, 458-504.
[8] C. L. Curtis, M. H. Freedman, W. C. Hsiang, and R. Stong, *A decomposition theorem for h-cobordant smooth simply-connected compact 4-manifolds*, Invent. Math. 123 (1996), no. 2, 343-348.
[9] R. E. Gompf, *Handlebody construction of Stein surfaces*, Ann. of Math. (2) 148 (1998), no. 2, 619-693.
[10] R. E. Gompf, *Infinite order corks*, arXiv:1603.05090v2, 2016.
[11] R. E. Gompf *Infinite order corks via handle diagrams*, arXiv:1607.04354v2, 2016.
[12] R. Matveyev, *A decomposition of smooth simply-connected h-cobordant 4-manifolds*, J. Differential Geom. 44 (1996), no. 3, 571-582.
[13] P. Ozsváth and Z. Szabó, *Holomorphic triangles and invariants for smooth four-manifolds*, Adv. Math. 202 (2006), no. 2, 326-400.
[14] M. Tange, *Finite order corks*, arXiv:1601.07589v2, 2016.

[15] M. Tange, *Notes on Gompf's infinite order cork*, arXiv:1609.04345v1, 2016.

Department of Mathematics, Brandeis University, MS 050, 415 South Street, Waltham, MA 02453
E-mail address: wongb@brandeis.edu
URL: https://sites.google.com/a/brandeis.edu/bijiwong